GITY OF GLASGOW COLLEGE

Board of Management Learning, Teaching & Student Experience Committee

Date of Meeting	Tuesday 21 November 2023
Paper No.	LTSEC2-E
Agenda Item	4.5
Subject of Paper	Strategic Risk Review
FOISA Status	Disclosable
Primary Contact	Drew McGowan College Secretary
Date of production	24 October 2023
Action	For Discussion and Decision

1. Recommendations

- 1.1. To discuss and approve the Strategic Risk Register for risks reported to the Committee, recommending any changes to the Board of Management for final approval.
- 1.2. To note the Risk Management Action Plans for risks reported to the Committee.

2. Purpose

2.1. To provide the Learning, Teaching & Student Experience Committee with an update on the most recent quarterly review of the College's strategic risks for those reported to the Committee. The Strategic Risk Register and the Management Action Plans (MAPs) are enclosed.

3. Consultation

3.1. All strategic risk owners were consulted during the latest quarterly review.

4. Key Insights

- 4.1. Risk management is a key component of the College's internal control and governance arrangements and, as such, is an important responsibility of the Board of Management, the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) and the Senior Management Team (SMT). Final approval of the Strategic Risk register is reserved to the Board and the Audit & Assurance Committee has oversight over the College's risk management approach.
- 4.2. ELT and SMT members are invited to review the risks they own on a quarterly basis. This is to ensure that the College, our Board and its committees, remain aware of any changes in the risk environment and that our risk management plans remain up-to-date and effective. Committees review the risks that are within their remit and recommend any changes to the Board for final approval.
- 4.3. The Strategic Risk Register and the MAPs are enclosed for the Committee's consideration and approval of members. 4 strategic risks are reported to the Committee. No changes to risk scores are proposed in this review.

5. Impact and Implications

5.1. The effective management, control and mitigation of risks are essential to the College's institutional and financial sustainability, compliance, reputation and future growth.

Appendices:

Appendix 1: Strategic Risk Register

Appendix 2: Risk Management Action Plans

Strategic Risk Register: October 2023

Strate	The Risk		Assessment			Cha	Board	
ID	Risk Title	Owner	Impact	Prob.	Net Score	Trend	Updated	Committee
SR1	Failure to support successful student outcomes and progression	VPSE	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	Sept '23	Learning
SR2	Failure to establish an optimal pedagogical model	VPSE	5	1	5	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Learning
SR4	Failure of the College's duty of care to students	VPSE	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Sept '23	Learning
SR5	Failure to realise planned benefits of Regionalisation	Pr DPr	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Conveners'
SR6	Negative impact upon the College's reputation	VPCDI	3	3	9	Я	Oct '23	Development
SR7	Failure to achieve improved business development with stakeholders	VPCDI	3	3	9	Я	Oct '23	Development
SR8	Failure to manage strategic risks associated with CGI Ltd	VPCDI	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Development
SR9	Failure to manage performance and achieve improved performance	DE	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Audit
SR10	Failure to attract, engage, and retain suitable staff	VPPCS	4	4	16	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	People
SR11	Failure to achieve taught degree awarding powers	DPr	4	4	16	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Learning
SR12	Negative impact of statutory compliance failure	DPr CS	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR13	Failure of compliance with Environmental Social and Governance (ESG) duties	DPr CS	5	1	5	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR14	Failure of compliance with the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR)	DPr	4	2	8	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Audit
SR15	Failure of corporate governance	Pr CS	5	1	5	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Audit
SR16	Failure of business continuity	CS	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR17	Negative impact of industrial action	VPPCS	5	4	20	7	Oct '23	People
SR18	Failure of IT system security	DIT	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	People
SR19	Failure to achieve operating surplus	CFO	5	5	25	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Finance
SR20	Failure to maximise income via diversification	CFO VPCDI	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Development
SR21	Failure to obtain funds from the City of Glasgow College Foundation	CFO	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Finance
SR22	Negative impact of Brexit	CFO DCS	2	3	6	Я	Oct '23	Finance
SR23	Failure to secure a sustainable model/level of funding	CFO	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Oct '23	Finance
SR24	Failure to secure sufficient capital investment	CFO	4	4	16	7	Oct '23	Finance
SR26	Failure to prepare and respond to emerging public health incidents and crises	DPr	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Conveners'

Impact and Probability Criteria

Score	Impact	Probability
1	Insignificant: the risk has minimal to no effect on the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Highly Unlikely: the likelihood of the risk occurring is minimal. It would be estimated that the risk has a 1-5% chance of happening.
2	Minor: the risk may cause slight disruption or impact on the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Unlikely: the likelihood of the risk occurring is unlikely but still possible. It would be estimated that the risk has a 6-25% chance of happening.
3	Moderate: the risk has a noticeable impact or disruption, affecting the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Possible: the likelihood of the risk occurring is reasonable. It would be estimated that the risk has a 26-50% chance of happening.
4	Major: the risk has a substantial impact on the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Likely: the likelihood of the risk occurring is probable. It would be estimated that the risk has a 51-75% chance of happening.
5	Critical: the risk is a severe threat to the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Almost Certain: the likelihood of the risk occurring is highly likely. It would be estimated that the risk has more than a 75% chance of happening.

Key

Pr	Principa	I & CEO
----	----------	---------

DPr Depute Principal & COO

CFO Chief Financial Officer

VPSE Vice Principal Student Experience

VPCDI Vice Principal Corporate Development

VPPCS Vice Principal People & Corporate Services

CS College Secretary

DE Director of Excellence

DCS Director of Corporate Support

DSE Director of Student Experience

DIT Director of IT

- New risk or existing risk score has increased since the previous review.
- Nisk score has decreased since the previous review.
- Risk score has not changed since the previous review.

Risk Matrix

	5	5	10	15	20	25
000	4	4	8	12	16	20
LIKELIHOOD	3	3	6	9	12	15
LIKE	2	2	4	6	8	10
	1	1	2	3	4	5
		1	2	3	4	5

IMPACT

Low Acceptable level of risk subject

(1-5) to periodic review

Medium Moderate level of risk subject to (6-12) regular monitoring and

mitigating actions and plans being in place

High Unacceptable level of risk

(15-20) requiring immediate actions and plans to prevent or mitigate

Critical Critical level of risk requiring

(25) urgent attention and actions to prevent or mitigate

Risk Management Action Plans

Misk Wariagemen		The Risk					Net	Score		G	Gross Score		Changes		
ID Risk Title	Owne		Developments/Commentary	Appetite	Tolerance	Impact	Prob.	Score	Target	Impact	Prob.	Score	Trend	Updated	History
SR1 Failure to support successful student outcomes and progression		There is a risk that the College may fail to support successful student outcomes due to curriculum issues, ineffective industry connections and inadequate articulation arrangements. This could lead to students leaving without completing their courses, resulting in financial and reputational damage, as well as affecting student recruitment. To address this risk, the College employs performance reviews, self-evaluation, and quality cycles to monitor and improve outcomes. Curriculum planning prioritises performance indicators, and a Student Academic Experience Strategy is implemented for a positive learning environment. The College maintains ongoing collaboration with HEIs to	Sept '23: Score increased from 5 to 20 due to the existing and anticipated impact of industrial action by lecturers. Aug '23: The SAES was implemented in 2021 includes key areas of development relating to 'City Student', City Learning and Teaching and the City Student Journey. The key objective is to enhance performance, increase retention and support a positive learning environment. Portfolio planning considers course discontinuation based on demand, priorities, and viability. Faculties are addressing low PI courses through action plans monitored by the Performance. The focuses on partial success, aiding students with failed units. Identified actions aim to enhance	Low	Medium	5	4	20	5	5	5	25		Sept '23 S	Sept '23: SR1 and SR3 merged. Score increased from 5 to 20. Aug '23: Edited for transfer to new MAP. Proposed that SR1 and SR3 be merged. Aug '22: Score decreased from 10 to 5. Sept' 21: Score decreased from 15 to 10.
SR2 Failure to establish an optimal pedagogical model	VPSE	not adequately cater to the needs of learners and stakeholders, including employers. The absence of a robust evidence base for "sector-leading" practices may hinder the effectiveness of the pedagogical model. To address this risk, the College implements several measures built around the Student Academic Experience Strategy which incorporates our City Learning approach which is Active, Blended and Connected. Students are co-creators of	Education Scotland PV reports and from the Student Parliament surveys have indicated a number of key themes for development as part of enhancement	Low	Medium	5	1	5	5	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	f	Aug '23: Edited for transfer to new MAP.

ID	Risk Title	Owner	Risk/Treatment Description	Developments/Commentary	Appetite	Tolerance	Impact	Prob.	Score	Target	Impact	Prob.	Score	Trend	Updated	History
SR4	Failure of the	VPSE	There is a risk that the College may fail	Sept '23: DSE provided a report on	Low	Low	5	2	10	4	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	Sept '23	Aug '23: Edited
	College's duty		in its statutory duty of care to students	Corproate Caring Duties to the Learning,												for transfer to
	of care to		in the following areas: Safeguaring	Teaching & Student Experience												new MAP.
	students		(Lead: DSE); Corporate Parenting (Lead:	Committee.												
			DSE); PVG Scheme/Disclosure (Lead:													Oct '20: Score
			VPPCS); and Prevent (Lead: CS).	Jan '22: Organisational Development is												increased from 5
				undertaking a review of mandatory and												to 10.
				non-mandatory training and has agreed												
			policies, procedures and designated	to prioritise Prevent training with the												
			leads in place for each duty. Regular training, facilitated through	development of a new online module.												
			Organisational Development, is													
			available for staff to raise awareness													
			and understanding across the College.													
			To fulfil its duties, the College													
			collaborates with a range of external													
			partners, e.g. other colleges, Corporate													
			Parents, forums at a sector/national													
			level and Police Scotland. By working													
			together, they can share best practices													
			and support each other in fulfilling their													
			duty of care effectively.													
	Failure to	DPr	,	Dec '22: In 2022, the College	Medium	Medium	4	4	16	5	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	-	Aug '23: Edited
	achieve taught		to attain taught degree awarding	acknowledged funding challenges and												for transfer to
	degree		powers (tDAP).	presented a paper to ELT in September.												new MAP.
	awarding powers		To address this risk, a dedicated project	The criteria for staff profile and												Dec '22: Score
	powers		l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	higher degrees, teaching experience,												increased from
			initiative. The project board is led by	curriculum development, etc. Limited												12 to 16.
			the Principal and includes	staff survey participation resulted in												12 to 10.
			representation from ELT, HR, the	uncertain higher degree data. The												
			Students' Association and the Board.	College struggles to meet expectations												
			The Depute Principal is the project	for the tDAP application. Two options												
			sponsor. A comprehensive risk register	were considered: hiring staff with												
			has been developed for the tDAP	qualifications or promoting higher												
			project, addressing key areas in the	degrees within current staff, both with												
			Quality Assurance Agency's criteria.	substantial costs. A 10-year working												
				timeframe for tDAP application was												
				suggested due to financial constraints,												
				while focusing on improving other												
				criteria. As of December 2022, the risk												
				score was raised to 16 (Red)												