GITY OF GLASGOW COLLEGE

Board of Management Finance Committee

Date of Meeting	27 September 2023
Paper No.	FC1-F
Agenda Item	4.2
Subject of Paper	Strategic Risk Review
FOISA Status	Disclosable
Primary Contact	Drew McGowan College Secretary
Date of production	31 August 2023
Action	For Discussion and Decision

1. Recommendations

- 1.1. To discuss and approve the Strategic Risk Register for risks reported to the Committee, recommending any changes to the Board of Management for final approval.
- 1.2. To note the Risk Management Action Plans for risks reported to the Committee.

2. Purpose

2.1. To provide the Finance Committee with an update on the most recent quarterly review of the College's strategic risks for those reported to the Committee. The Strategic Risk Register and the Management Action Plans (MAPs) are enclosed.

3. Consultation

3.1. All strategic risk owners were consulted during the latest quarterly review.

4. Key Insights

- 4.1. Risk management is a key component of the College's internal control and governance arrangements and, as such, is an important responsibility of the Board of Management, the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) and the Senior Management Team (SMT). Final approval of the Strategic Risk register is reserved to the Board and the Audit & Assurance Committee has oversight over the College's risk management approach.
- 4.2. ELT and SMT members are invited to review the risks they own on a quarterly basis. This is to ensure that the College, our Board and its committees, remain aware of any changes in the risk environment and that our risk management plans remain up-to-date and effective. Committees review the risks that are within their remit and recommend any changes to the Board for final approval.
- 4.3. The Strategic Risk Register and the MAPs are enclosed for the Committee's consideration and approval of members. 6 strategic risks are reported to the Committee. No changes to risk scores are proposed in this review.
- 4.4. The College's strategic risk reporting has been streamlined over the summer in preparation for the new academic year. The Strategic Risk Register has been refreshed and the MAPs have been condensed from a total of 104 pages to 13. This remains a work in progress and feedback is welcome.

5. Impact and Implications

5.1. The effective management, control and mitigation of risks are essential to the College's institutional and financial sustainability, compliance, reputation and future growth.

Appendices:

Appendix 1: Strategic Risk Register

Appendix 2: Risk Management Action Plans

Strategic Risk Register: August 2023

Strate	egic Risk Register: August 2023		٥			Cha		
ID	The Risk Risk Title	Owner	Impact	Assessmer Prob.	nt Net Score	Trend	nges Updated	Board Committee
SR1	Failure to support successful student outcomes	VPSE	5	1	5	↔	Aug '23	Learning
SR2	Failure to establish an optimal pedagogical model	VPSE	5	1	5	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Learning
SR3	Failure to achieve good student outcome/progression levels	VPSE	5	1	5	Ą	Aug '23	Learning
SR4	Failure of the College's duty of care to students	VPSE	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Learning
SR5	Failure to realise planned benefits of Regionalisation	Pr DPr	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Conveners'
SR6	Negative impact upon the College's reputation	VPCDI	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Development
SR7	Failure to achieve improved business development with stakeholders	VPCDI	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Development
SR8	Failure to manage strategic risks associated with CGI Ltd	VPCDI	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Development
SR9	Failure to manage performance and achieve improved performance	DE	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR10	Failure to attract, engage, and retain suitable staff	VPPCS	4	4	16	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	People
SR11	Failure to achieve taught degree awarding powers	DPr	4	4	16	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Learning
SR12	Negative impact of statutory compliance failure	CS	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR13	Failure of compliance with Environmental Social and Governance (ESG) duties	DPr CS	5	1	5	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR14	Failure of compliance with the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR)	DPr	4	2	8	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR15	Failure of corporate governance	Pr CS	5	1	5	Я	Aug '23	Audit
SR16	Failure of business continuity	CS	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Audit
SR17	Negative impact of industrial action	VPPCS	4	4	16	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	People
SR18	Failure of IT system security	DIT	5	2	10	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	People
SR19	Failure to achieve operating surplus	CFO	5	5	25	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Finance
SR20	Failure to maximise income via diversification	CFO VPCDI	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Finance
SR21	Failure to obtain funds from the City of Glasgow College Foundation	CFO	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Finance
SR22	Negative impact of Brexit	CFO DCS	3	3	9	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Finance
SR23	Failure to secure a sustainable model/level of Regional funding	CFO	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Finance
SR24	Failure to secure sufficient capital investment	CFO	3	4	12	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Finance
SR25	Failure to manage acute threats relating to the Coronavirus outbreak	Pr DPr	4	2	8	Я	Aug '23	Conveners'
SR26	Failure to prepare and respond to emerging public health incidents and crises	DPr	5	2	10	7	Aug '23	Conveners'

Impact and Probability Criteria

Score	Impact	Probability
1	Insignificant: the risk has minimal to no effect on the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Highly Unlikely: the likelihood of the risk occurring is minimal. It would be estimated that the risk has a 1-5% chance of happening.
2	Minor: the risk may cause slight disruption or impact on the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Unlikely: the likelihood of the risk occurring is unlikely but still possible. It would be estimated that the risk has a 6-25% chance of happening.
3	Moderate: the risk has a noticeable impact or disruption, affecting the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Possible: the likelihood of the risk occurring is reasonable. It would be estimated that the risk has a 26-50% chance of happening.
4	Major: the risk has a substantial impact on the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Likely: the likelihood of the risk occurring is probable. It would be estimated that the risk has a 51-75% chance of happening.
5	Critical: the risk is a severe threat to the College's operations, objectives, reputation, stakeholders or financial sustainability.	Almost Certain: the likelihood of the risk occurring is highly likely. It would be estimated that the risk has more than a 75% chance of happening.

Key

Pr	Principa	I & CEO
----	----------	---------

DPr Depute Principal & COO

CFO Chief Financial Officer

VPSE Vice Principal Student Experience

VPCDI Vice Principal Corporate Development

VPPCS Vice Principal People & Corporate Services

CS College Secretary

DE Director of Excellence

DCS Director of Corporate Support

DSE Director of Student Experience

DIT Director of IT

- New risk or existing risk score has increased since the previous review.
- Nisk score has decreased since the previous review.
- Risk score has not changed since the previous review.

Risk Matrix

	5	5	10	15	20	25
000	4	4	8	12	16	20
LIKELIHOOD	3	3	6	9	12	15
LIKE	2	2	4	6	8	10
	1	1	2	3	4	5
		1	2	3	4	5

IMPACT

Low Acceptable level of risk subject

(1-5) to periodic review

Medium Moderate level of risk subject to (6-12) regular monitoring and

mitigating actions and plans being in place

High Unacceptable level of risk

(15-20) requiring immediate actions and plans to prevent or mitigate

Critical Critical level of risk requiring

(25) urgent attention and actions to prevent or mitigate

Risk Management Action Plans

Nisk Managemen		The Risk	The Risk			Net Score					Gross Score			Changes		
ID Risk Title	Owner		Developments/Commentary	Appetite	Tolerance	Impact	Prob.	Score	Target	Impact	Prob.	Score	Trend	Updated		
SR19 Failure to achieve operating surplus		There is a risk that the College fails to achieve an operating surplus through the control of costs and achievement of income targets. To address this risk, the College employs a comprehensive approach to financial management. Strategies encompass securing SFC funding by meeting credit targets and timely FWDF activity delivery. Vigilant control of course fees, non-SFC fundable income,		Low	Medium	5	5	25	4	5	5	25		Aug '23	Aug '23: Edited for transfer to new MAP. Sept '22: Score increased from 20 to 25. Feb '22: Score increased from 12 to 20.	
		and other revenue sources are emphasised. Additionally, expenditure is carefully managed through controlling staff costs, optimising staff structures, and closely monitoring operating expenses. Potential impacts of inflation and energy costs are also proactively considered.														
SR20 Failure to maximise income via diversification	CFO VPCDI		Nov '22: The College is facing an even greater financial challenge in 2023-24 with expected SFC funding reduction, staff pay award expectations, high inflation, rapidly increasing utility costs and income still recovering from the impact of COVID. The senior management team will continue to proactively monitor, review and challenge staff to deliver income growth and diversification to return to at least a break even financial performance in 2024-25.	Low	Low	5	4	20	9	5	5	25	\leftrightarrow		Aug '23: Edited for transfer to new MAP. May '21: Score decreased from 25 to 20.	

ID	Risk Title	Owner	Risk/Treatment Description	Developments/Commentary	Appetite	Tolerance	Impact	Prob.	Score	Target	Impact	Prob.	Score	Trend	Updated	History
SR21	Failure to	CFO	There is a risk that the College may not	June '23: Following the College's	Low	Medium	4	3	12	3	5	4	20	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Aug '23: Edited
	obtain funds		successfully secure funds from the	unsuccessful application to the												for transfer to
	from the City of		College Foundation, resulting in	Foundation for the remaining balance												new MAP.
	Glasgow		inadequate resourcing for planned	to fund a further round of Voluntary												
	College		initiatives and improvements.	Severence, the Finance Committee												June '23: Score
	Foundation			agreed to increase the score from 4												increased from 4
			To mitigate this risk, the Terms of	(green) to 12 (amber).												to 12.
			Reference for the College Foundation													
			are thoughtfully framed while													
			respecting its independence, with													
			external legal counsel contributing their expertise. This strategic framing													
			enhances the potential for successful													
			funding applications. The College													
			ensures strict adherence to the defined													
			Terms of Reference, guiding the													
			preparation and management of all													
			funding applications. This rigorous													
			approach aims to maximise the chances													
			of securing Foundation funds for													
			planned initiatives, preventing the													
			under-resourcing of crucial projects.													
SR22	Negative	CFO	There is a risk that the College will be		Low	Medium	3	3	9	5	5	3	15	\leftrightarrow	Aug '23	Aug '23: Edited
	impact of Brexit	DCS	negatively impacted by the													for transfer to
			repercussions of the UK's departure													new MAP.
			from the EU - including on European													
			funding, contracts with EU-funded													March '22: Score
			partners, the shipping industry and													decreased from
			freedom of movement.													12 to 9.
			To address this vist, the College has													
			To address this risk, the College has													
			engaged with the Scottish Government and the SFC to minimise the													
			uncertainties surronding future funding.													
			The College will continue to explore and													
			make efforts to secure alternative and													
			replacement funding streams to deliver													
			work. The College aims to secure new													
			partnerships and contracts, while													
			actively engaging with the UK Chamber													
			of Shipping to exploit emerging													
			opportunities. Monitoring and adapting													
			to national developments will address													
			minor impacts on staff and students.													

ID Risk Title	Owner	Risk/Treatment Description	Developments/Commentary	Appetite	Tolerance	Impact	Prob.	Score	Target	Impact	Prob.	Score	Trend	Updated	History
SR23 Failure to	CFO	There is a risk that an agreed and	Feb '22: The 2022-23 Scottish Budget	Low	Low	4	3	12	5	5	5	25	\leftrightarrow	_	Aug '23: Edited
secure a		sustainable model of grant funding for	revealed a concerning £28.2m												for transfer to
sustainable		the College within the Glasgow Region	reduction in revenue budget, while												new MAP.
model/level of		may not be achieved. Uncertainties in	capital funding remained at £33.7m.												
Regional		funding methodologies (e.g., capital,	Uncertainty remains due to SFC and												
funding			GCRB funding methods, with upcoming												
		Covid-19 contribute to the risk. As the	concerns over capital, ESF, national												
		proportion of SFC income grows against non-SFC income, the impact of this risk	College, hit harder by Covid-19, faces a												
		will become greater.	tougher financial recovery. Growing												
		Will become greater.	reliance on SFC funding due to ongoing												
		To manage this risk, the College focuses													
		on robust curriculum planning and	crucial. The College is countering this												
		maintains close collaboration with the	risk through strong curriculum planning,												
		GCRB and other Glasgow colleges. It	close engagement with GCRB, and												
		actively engages in transparent	collaboration among Glasgow Colleges.												
			Indicative regional funding is expected												
		to communicate funding needs	in March 2022.												
		effectively. Proactive planning and													
		adaptability are emphasised, allowing													
		the College to navigate changing													
		funding scenarios.													
SR24 Failure to	CFO	There is a risk of the College failing to	May '22: The College faces significant	Low	Medium	3	4	12	3	5	1	20	\leftrightarrow	Λιια '22	Aug '23: Edited
secure	CIO	secure sufficient capital investment to	financial constraints for both current	LOW	Wicalam	3	7	12	•	3	7	20	\leftarrow	_	for transfer to
sufficient		replace essential equipment as it	and future capital planning. The annual												new MAP.
capital		reaches the end of its useful life, due to													
investment		a substantial annual capital funding gap.													
			streams have been fully utilised,												
		To manage this risk, the College has	creating pressure on the bottom-line												
		developed a capital asset replacement	deficit. The 2022/23 budget realigns												
		plan, requiring around £3m - £4m	some funding for asset replacement,												
		annually. However, the current SFC	but overall capital funding remains												
		Maintenance and Capital Grant is only	insufficient due to unchanged allocation												
		approximately £1.3m, resulting in a	methodology. The College managed an												
			initial 2021/22 capital budget by												
		actively engage with SFC to secure a	combining grants and Foundation												
		substantial increase in annual capital funding. The College also plans to seek	funds. Approximately £2.4m remains												
		assistance from the College Foundation													
			challenges for funding current and												
		allocate more funds for asset	future needs.												
		replacement.													